INDIVIDUAL COMMENT LETTER 29:
MATTHEWS, S.

Response 29-A: A primary benefit of the Project is to bring renewable energy to the City of Los Angeles; however, the addition of the BRRTP makes clean, renewable energy more available to the public and, as such, is a benefit to the region. LADWP has worked with Green Valley throughout the process and will continue working with the community.

I have lived in Green Valley for 28 years, raised my children here, and loved living in this community. Over the past few years, there have been numerous meetings regarding DWP’s electric transmission lines and the route they will take. It has been rumored that the lines will be established along the same route that is running through Green Valley already. It is said that we were told that DWP would work with Green Valley and build them along the perimeter of Green Valley, knowing the impact to this community. I understand the not in my backyard mentality, but as Angelenos, we have an opportunity to produce our own clean energy and own it down.

The DWP has the opportunity to work with our community and I don’t see why they don’t take it. The state has mandated clean energy for California, but we have to be at the expense of the Antelope Valley and the rural community.

Shirley Matthews
Social Services Division
39843 Casta Guerra
Green Valley CA 95440
Response 30-A: Safety of firefighters has been considered in the analysis and comparison of the Alternatives. Firefighting would not be significantly affected by the Proposed Action’s taller towers (refer to Section 4.2.11 of the Final EIS/EIR). Also please refer to Response 2-A.
INDIVIDUAL COMMENT LETTER 31:
MCCONNELL, G.

Response 31-A: Safety of firefighters has been considered in the analysis and comparison of the Alternatives. Firefighting would not be significantly affected by the Proposed Action’s taller towers (refer to Section 4.2.11 of the Final EIS/EIR). Also please refer to Response 2-A.

To: Mr. John R. Dennis
Director of Power System Engineering
August 27, 2011

I am a 30 year resident of Green Valley. I have also been employed by the City of Las Vegas for the past 20 years. Our main concern in Green Valley is our safety as it relates to the danger of fire.

I believe the extension of the existing power line (about 4,000 feet) would pose a greater fire danger to Green Valley. Additionally, this would also place firefighters at greater danger.

Common sense would suggest that the existing power line should be moved to edges of the adjacent forest. This would allow firefighters to concentrate on the fire and not on saving lives.

Sincerely,
Glenn McConnell (607) 970-9016
30319 Cable Crossing - Green Valley
Response 32-A: Safety of firefighters has been considered in the analysis and comparison of the Alternatives. Firefighting would not be significantly affected by the Proposed Action’s taller towers (refer to Section 4.2.11 of the Final EIS/EIR). Also please refer to Response 2-A.
INDIVIDUAL COMMENT LETTER 33: MILLARD, D.

Response 33-A: Safety of firefighters has been considered in the analysis and comparison of the Alternatives. Firefighting would not be significantly affected by the Proposed Action’s taller towers (refer to Section 4.2.11 of the Final EIS/EIR). Also please refer to Response 2-A.
Response 34-A: Safety of firefighters has been considered in the analysis and comparison of the Alternatives. Firefighting would not be significantly affected by the Proposed Action’s taller towers (refer to Section 4.2.11 of the Final EIS/EIR). Also please refer to Response 2-A.
INDIVIDUAL COMMENT LETTER 35: PIPER, T.

Response 35-A: Safety of firefighters has been considered in the analysis and comparison of the Alternatives. Firefighting would not be significantly affected by the Proposed Action’s taller towers (refer to Section 4.2.11 of the Final EIS/EIR). Also please refer to Response 2-A.